

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 JAMES M. KINDER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 NATIONWIDE RECOVERY SYSTEMS,
15 LTD.,

16 Defendant.

CASE NO. 07CV2132

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR
PARTIAL JUDGMENT ON THE
PLEADINGS**

[Doc. 7]

17 Pending before the Court is Defendant's motion for partial judgment on the pleadings pursuant
18 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(c). The Court finds this matter suitable for submission without
19 oral argument pursuant to Local Civil Rule 71.(d)(1). Accordingly, no appearances are required at this
20 time. Defendant's motion is granted.

21 Plaintiff's Complaint alleges multiple violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200,
22 portions of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (the "TCPA"). First, Plaintiff alleges
23 Defendant violated the TCPA, and in particular, Section 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by calling Plaintiff's
24 number assigned to a paging service using an automatic dialing system or artificial or pre-recorded
25 voice. (Compl. ¶ 8). Second, Plaintiff alleges Defendant violated 47 C.F.R. 64.1200(b)(1), a regulation
26 passed pursuant to TCPA Section 227(d), by failing to "state clearly the identity of the business,
27 individual, or other entity that is responsible for initiating the call." (Compl. ¶ 9).

28 Defendant has moved for judgment on the pleadings on only the latter claim, arguing there is

1 no private right of action for violations of Section 227(d) or for violations of the attendant regulation
2 found at 47 C.F.R 64.1200(b)(1). The Court agrees. *See Boydston v. Asset Acceptance LLC*, 496 F.
3 Supp. 2d 1101 (N.D. Cal. 2007); *Kopff v. Battaglia*, 425 F. Supp. 2d 76, 90-91 (D.D.C. 2006).
4 Allegations claiming violations of those sections are stricken.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 DATED: January 23, 2008



8
9 HON. DANA M. SABRAW
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28